Constraints and prospects on GW and neutrino emissions using GW150914

Krijn D. de Vries,[1] Gwenhaël de Wasseige,[1] Jean-Marie Frère,[2] and Matthias Vereecken[1,3]

[1] *IIHE/ELEM, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium*
[2] *Université Libre Bruxelles, Boulevard de la Plaine 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium*
[3] *TENA, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, International Solvay institutes, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium*

Recently, the LIGO observatory reported the first direct observation of gravitational waves, with a signal consistent with the merger of a binary black hole. This detection triggered several follow-up searches for coincident emission in electromagnetic waves as well as neutrinos. In this article, the implications of the non-detection of counterpart neutrinos are investigated in a model-independent way. The results are interpreted with a parameter denoting the energy emitted in neutrinos relative to the energy emitted in gravitational waves. The bound on this parameter from the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux detected by the IceCube Neutrino Observatory is discussed. It is found that, currently, the non-detection of counterpart neutrinos puts a bound comparable to the one from the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux given the binary black hole merger rate. The detection of one of more neutrinos from a single merger in the near future would strongly constrain the source population and evolution. Finally, the same method is used to investigate the sensitivity to this parameter in future gravitational wave observation runs. While the method presented here is shown for binary black hole mergers, it can be used for other classes of gravitational wave sources as well.

Keywords: neutrino astronomy, gravitational wave detectors, binary black hole merger

arXiv:1612.02648v1 [astro-ph.HE] 8 Dec 2016

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

On September 14th 2015, the two detectors of the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO) observed a transient gravitational wave signal, referred to as GW150914 [1]. This signal matches with the expectations from the merger of two black holes with masses equal to 36^{+5}_{-4} $M_\odot$ and 29^{+4}_{-4} $M_\odot$. The LIGO detection triggered a large follow-up campaign in both electromagnetic [2] as well as neutrino [3–5] detectors. Since then, an additional BBH merger was detected, as well as a potential BBH merger [6], for which no follow-up search in neutrinos has yet been published. None of the follow-up searches triggered by GW150914 led to a significant detection[1]. In general, no emission apart from gravitational waves (GW) is expected from a binary black hole (BBH) merger. However, given that high energy neutrino detectors (e.g. IceCube [8], ANTARES [9] and Baikal [10]) are available, it is still useful to search for counterpart neutrinos to test this expectation. In view of the multi-messenger approach, a neutrino detection of a source discovered in gravitational waves would shine a unique light on the source properties.

In this paper, the potential of probing neutrino emission from GW sources from current and future GW events is investigated. A model-independent approach is presented which allows to constrain, for a given type of merger, the relative fraction of energy released in neutrinos compared to gravitational waves. In section II, the method is defined, followed by a brief discussion of the neutrino emission. It is shown how the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux directly constrains the possible neutrino emission from BBH mergers. In section III, the method is applied to GW150914, with the focus on the IceCube observatory and its energy range. Afterwards, the sensitivity gain to neutrino emission in future GW observation runs is investigated. Finally, in section IV, it is discussed how the current results can be interpreted in a model-dependent way. It should be stressed that, while the focus here is on BBH mergers, the parametrization is completely general and can also be used for other types of GW sources that might be discovered in the future, such as neutron star-black hole and neutron star-neutron star mergers, for which neutrino emission is expected.

[1] The Fermi-GBM has however reported a subthreshold transient event, consistent with a short GRB [7]. It is still unclear whether this was associated with GW150914 or a chance coincidence.

II. METHOD

A. Neutrino emission strength

The high-energy neutrino emission from the gravitationally detected binary black hole merger is investigated using the energy released through gravitational waves in combination with observations by neutrino telescopes. This will be applied to GW150914, which released 3 $M_\odot$ of energy into gravitational waves from a distance of 410 Mpc according to the LIGO analysis.

The amount of energy emitted in neutrinos within a certain energy range relative to the amount of energy emitted in gravitational waves (as reported by LIGO) is characterized by

$$f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = \frac{E_\nu}{E_{GW}}. \tag{1}$$

Under the assumption that E_ν scales linearly with E_{GW}, this fraction is universal for all BBH mergers and makes

predictions for future observations possible.

Care should be taken with the definition of $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$. In the scenario presented above, it is assumed that there is an additional neutrino emission on top of the measured GW emission, with an energy of

$$E_{\rm GW} = 3M_\odot, \tag{2}$$
$$E_\nu = f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} \times 3M_\odot, \tag{3}$$

in the case of GW150914. However, $E_{\rm GW}$ is not directly measured, but determined from the difference between the measurable black hole masses before and after the merger. Therefore, another scenario can be envisioned, where part of the mass difference is emitted in gravitational waves, while the other part is emitted in neutrinos

$$E_{\rm GW} = 3M_\odot - f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} \times 3M_\odot, \tag{4}$$
$$E_\nu = f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} \times 3M_\odot. \tag{5}$$

In this case the definition of $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ should be changed to contain the measured mass difference, which is the value reported by LIGO, instead of $E_{\rm GW}$. The most straightforward way to overcome this loss in signal strength is to perform a shift in the source distance. Note that the two cases imply different physics. The first case can represent neutrino emission coming from matter around the black holes, where $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ can be larger than one. In the second case one considers a more exotic scenario where mass from the black holes themselves is at some point during the merger process converted into neutrinos. If $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ is small, the difference between the two cases becomes negligible. A large $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ would imply a significant change in the physical conditions, either through added matter or by having weaker gravitational waves. In that case, the agreement between the measured signal and the general relativity simulations would likely be spoiled. Therefore, it will be assumed that $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ is indeed small. As will be shown in this paper, this assumption is valid.

High energy neutrino emission is typically associated with gamma-ray emission through neutral pion decay. Whereas neutrinos can propagate unhindered, gamma-rays can be attenuated in a multitude of ways on their journey to Earth. To take this into account one needs to consider a specific model for the source environment. Therefore, in order to stay model-independent, only the neutrino emission is treated, ignoring any constraints from gamma emission.

B. Neutrino emission properties

Two benchmark scenarios of neutrino emission are considered: a monoenergetic spectrum and an E^{-2}-spectrum. The first scenario can be used when the neutrino spectrum is dominated by a single energy. It is also useful to demonstrate the sensitivity to different neutrino energies. The second scenario is the standard power-law distribution that follows from Fermi acceleration. While there is no reason to expect a spectral index of exactly 2 for the situation considered in this work, it is the one most commonly used and can be seen as a reference for power-law distributions with different spectral indices. In both cases, the spectrum is normalized to E_ν. In the monoenergetic case, a scan is performed over the neutrino energy between 100 GeV and 10^8 GeV, since this is the energy range of interest for IceCube. Since the number of neutrinos produced for monoenergetic production scales like $1/E$, while the interaction cross section for detection in this energy range scales like E [11], one expects that, up to detector effects, the amount of neutrinos detected at Earth is constant. This implies that the number of detected events is relatively insensitive to the shape of the spectrum in the range where detector effects are negligible. In the second case, an E^{-2}-spectrum is assumed between 100 GeV and 10^8 GeV. In-between these two different types of spectra, given also the flat sensitivity expected from monenergetic emission, the following results should be representative for a whole range of different types of spectra possibly produced during the merger event.

When converting the emitted luminosity to the neutrino flux received at Earth, both the distance to the source (which is given by LIGO) and the angular distribution of the emission need to be considered. Gravitational waves from two merging black holes which are spiralling into each other, are emitted in all directions, with a stronger flux along the angular momentum vector of the binary system. Therefore, the most likely orientation of a detected event is either face-on or face-off (see e.g. [6]). In the case of jet-formation one expects the electromagnetic and neutrino emission to be beamed along this direction as well. However, to stay model-independent, all calculations will be done assuming isotropic emission. In the case of beaming, the flux would be enhanced with the beaming factor and the corresponding result can be directly obtained by rescaling from the isotropic case. An additional correction factor could be included to take into account the possible different orientations of the source system. Redshift effects to the flux will be ignored in the following, which is reasonable in view of the current distance probed by LIGO. Finally, full mixing between the neutrino flavours is assumed, so that all three flavours arrive at Earth in equal amounts.

C. Astrophysical bound

In this section, the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux first detected by IceCube in 2013 [12] is used to put an upper bound on $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$. Under the assumption that BBH

mergers emit neutrinos, the maximally allowed $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ is the one which saturates the astrophysical neutrino flux. The rate of BBH mergers detectable by LIGO in the local universe, determined from all detected GW events so far, is given by [6]

$$R = 9 - 240\,\mathrm{Gpc}^{-3}\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}, \tag{6}$$

where the range was determined by considering different black hole mass distributions. It is assumed that all BBH mergers produce gravitational waves with an energy of 3 $M_\odot$ along with an associated neutrino flux that follows an E^{-2}-spectrum between 100 GeV and 10^8 GeV, normalized to E_ν. The consequent diffuse neutrino flux is directly given by [13]

$$E^2\,\frac{\mathrm{d}N_\nu}{\mathrm{d}E_\nu}\bigg|_{\mathrm{obs}} = f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} t_H \frac{c}{4\pi}\xi_z E^2\,\frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{N}_\nu}{\mathrm{d}E_\nu}\bigg|_{\mathrm{inj},f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}=1}. \tag{7}$$

The cosmic evolution of the sources is contained in ξ_z, with $\xi_z = 3$ for a source evolution similar to the star formation rate, which is proportional to $(1+z)^3$. While in reality there is an entire spectrum of merger events with different energies, GW150914 is likely to be among the most energetic ones. The assumption that all mergers inject this much energy therefore leads to the highest possible flux from BBH mergers. While the upper bound resulting from this flux is thus not absolute, it indicates at what point the neutrino flux from BBH mergers is no longer in tension with the astrophysical flux, regardless of the assumed source population. Therefore, the astrophysical bounds derived from this section should be seen as indicative. As further GW events are detected, the BBH mass distribution and typical E_{GW} should be known with more precision. This will allow the present bound to be calculated more accurately.

The diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux measured by IceCube is given by[14]

$$E^2\Phi(E) = 0.84 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-8}\,\mathrm{GeV\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}\ sr^{-1}}, \tag{8}$$

fitted with a fixed spectral index of 2 in the range between 60 TeV and 3 PeV of deposited energy. While there is a more up to date estimate of the flux [15], which was fitted with a free spectral index, the fixed spectral index allows an immediate comparison with our benchmark scenario. Since the assumed neutrino spectrum is valid over an energy range wider than the one where this spectrum was measured, the upper bound on $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ is found when the normalization constants from the fluxes in Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) are equal. Since LIGO estimates the BBH merger rate in a range given by different black-hole mass distributions, a weak and a strong astrophysical bound are calculated coming from the lowest and highest BBH merger rate respectively.

For monoenergetic neutrino emission, the same method is used, where Eq. (7) is adjusted appropriately. The monoenergetic emission would show up as a peak at a specific energy, instead of a continuous spectrum over the entire energy range. Therefore it is only possible to put a bound in the energy range where the astrophysical flux is actually measured.

III. DETECTION OF GW NEUTRINOS

In order to show how a non-detection constrains $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$, it is necessary to convert the flux at Earth to the flux seen in a detector. The present analysis will focus on IceCube, which can detect high energy neutrinos between 100 GeV and 10^8 GeV. As in the follow-up search of GW150914 by IceCube, the effective area presented in [16] will be used. This effective area is appropriate for a search for muon neutrinos, because of their excellent pointing, so that the flux of interest is a factor 3 smaller, assuming full mixing. The effective area is a function of the declination and is given for three declination bands in the southern sky ($-90^\circ < \delta < -60^\circ$, $-60^\circ < \delta < -30^\circ$ and $-30^\circ < \delta < 0^\circ$). The localization of GW150914 is such that it is spread out over all three declination bands. At first the results for the effective area of the declination band $-30^\circ < \delta < 0^\circ$ will be presented, since this one has a high effective area over the entire energy range. This will lead to the strongest bound one can possibly put from the non-detection of counterpart neutrinos. Afterwards, also the result for an effective area averaged over the entire southern sky will be quoted.

Only the irreducible background from atmospheric neutrinos [17] is considered. Neutrino emission associated with a BBH merger would appear to neutrino observatories as a transient signal similar to the one expected from a GRB [18]. The background is integrated over 1000 s, the same time window considered in the GW follow-up analysis. This conservative time window allows to assume the full neutrino signal is contained. Lastly, since the localization is known within 600 deg^2, the background flux is integrated over this solid angle.

A. Limits from GW150914

In Fig. 1, the number of detectable neutrinos for an isotropic monoenergetic emission is shown, for different energies and for $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ varying between 10^{-7} and 1. It can be seen that, for constant $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$, the number of detectable neutrinos is approximately flat between 10^3 GeV and about 10^7 GeV. Outside this range, the sensitivity is affected by detector energy resolution in the lower end and limited statistics in the upper end of the energy range.

The two indicative astrophysical bounds are $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 1.14 \times 10^{-4}$ and $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 4.29 \times 10^{-6}$ for



FIG. 1: The amount of neutrinos detectable for a single GW event of 3 $M_\odot$ in the case of isotropic monoenergetic emission, for different neutrino energies, and for different $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ (blue band). The black fat line indicates the atmospheric neutrino flux, which is integrated over a time window of 1000 s and a solid angle of 600 deg^2. The red dashed line shows the threshold where a single event is detectable and the green hatched lines are the upper bounds from the astrophysical neutrino flux given the upper and lower limit of the BBH merger rate for the case discussed in the text.



FIG. 2: The detected flux for a single GW event of 3 $M_\odot$ in the case of an isotropic E^{-2}-spectrum, for different $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ (blue band). The black fat line is the atmospheric neutrino flux, which is integrated over a time window of 1000 s and a solid angle of 600 deg^2. The red dashed line shows the flux for which one event is detectable and the green hatched lines show the upper bounds from the astrophysical neutrino flux for the upper and lower limit of the BBH merger rate for the case discussed in the text.

the lowest and highest BBH merger rate respectively, in the range between 60 TeV and 3 PeV of deposited energy in the detector. The one detectable event threshold is at around $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 10^{-2}$ in an energy range between 10^3 GeV and 10^7 GeV. Given the assumptions in the calculation of the astrophysical bounds, outlined in the previous section, the non-detection of counterpart neutrinos from GW150914 is still a bit weaker than the astrophysical bound over the range where the latter one exists. Since the one event threshold is still above both astrophysical bounds however, a detection in the near future would strongly constrain the source population and evolution or rule out the mono-energetic case. The signal is still well above the atmospheric background at each energy, making such events statistically easily detectable.

In Fig. 2 the flux for an isotropically emitted E^{-2}-spectrum is shown for $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ varying between 10^{-7} and 1. The astrophysical bounds are at $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 1.58 \times 10^{-3}$ and $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 5.93 \times 10^{-5}$. The one detectable event threshold is at $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 1.24 \times 10^{-2}$, comparable to the weakest astrophysical bound. This means that if BBH mergers would be responsible for the astrophysical neutrino flux, a neutrino could have been seen from a single BBH event. The one event threshold is comparable to the atmospheric neutrino flux at around 100 GeV. At energies higher than about 1 TeV, the flux from this threshold dominates over the atmospheric neutrino background. In Fig. 3 the integrated number of events is shown as well, where the bound from the non-detection can be read off from the crossing of the red dashed line and the blue diagonal line. If the true merger rate is comparable to the lowest value in the given range, corresponding to the weakest astrophysical bound (highest $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$), all source populations are still allowed at the moment. If, however, the true merger rate is near the highest value in the given range, a neutrino counterpart detected in the near future would lead to an $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ above the astrophysical bound. This would imply that the assumptions that went into this bound are too strong, so that merger rate, injected energy and source evolution are constrained. In future GW observation runs, the merger rate will converge towards its true value, which will allow the bound from the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux to be determined with more precision.

In the case of beaming, the flux towards Earth can be enhanced. For example, if a jet emits in a patch of 0.2×0.2 in solid angle, the flux would be enhanced with a factor $\frac{4\pi}{0.2\times0.2}$. The one detectable event threshold in the case of an E^{-2}-spectrum would then become $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 3.96 \times 10^{-5}$. The astrophysical flux would not change, since the total flux is still isotropic. In the case of an effective area averaged over the southern sky, the one detectable event threshold goes up by a factor of about 2 in all cases.



FIG. 3: The integrated number of events for an E^{-2}-spectrum for different $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$. The black fat line is the atmospheric neutrino flux, which is integrated over a time window of 1000 s and a solid angle of 600 deg^2. The red dashed line shows the one detectable event threshold and the green hatched lines show the upper bounds from the astrophysical neutrino flux for the upper and lower limit of the BBH merger rate for the case discussed in the text.



FIG. 4: The sensitivity of $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ expected at various significance requirements (from top to bottom $S/\sqrt{S+B} = 5, 3, 1$) as a function of number of 3 $M_\odot$ BBH mergers observed in gravitational waves. The full, dashed and dashed-dotted lines correspond to different localizations (600 deg^2, 100 deg^2 and 20 deg^2 respectively). The green hatched lines show the upper bounds from the astrophysical neutrino flux for the upper and lower limit of the BBH merger rate for the case discussed in the text. The vertical band shows the expected number of BBH mergers seen in LIGO run O2.

B. Future efforts

In LIGO run O2, it is expected that many more BBH mergers will be seen. Here, it is investigated how a stacked search of these events can constrain $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$. The focus will be on the more realistic E^{-2}-spectrum. It is assumed that all the BBH mergers will be similar to GW150914, radiating 3 $M_\odot$ in gravitational waves isotropically at a distance of 410 Mpc. Since GW150914 is among the more powerful events and relatively close by, this leads to an estimate of the lowest $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ that can potentially be probed. The IceCube effective area averaged over the full sky is used. The localization of GW events is expected to improve with the improvements and enlargement of the LIGO-Virgo network [19]. In that case, neutrino observatories will be able to observe a smaller solid angle in the sky, resulting in a reduced background. Therefore the calculation is done for a localization of 600 deg^2, 100 deg^2 and 20 deg^2.

In Fig. 4, the $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ for which a signal would be detected with $S/\sqrt{S+B} = 5, 3, 1$ is shown, as a function of the number of detected BBH merger events N_{GW}. The red dashed line indicates at what $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ at least one neutrino can be detected, integrated over all BBH merger events, illustrating the $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ range that will be measurable. At first, $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ drops proportionally to the one event threshold, since the detection is purely signal limited. Starting at around 10 BBH mergers, however, the background starts to become important and the $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ that can be reached drops less fast, since more than a single neutrino needs to be detected to significantly constrain $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$. It is at this point that the improved localization starts to become important. These values for $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ can be compared with the astrophysical bounds. It is found that the sensitivity curves for $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ intersect with the weakest astrophysical bound at $N_{\mathrm{GW}} \gtrsim 180, 70, 8$ for $S/\sqrt{S+B} = 5, 3, 1$ respectively, with negligible differences for the different localizations. If signal neutrinos have been found before reaching this number of BBH mergers, the source population (merger rate and cosmic evolution of the sources) would be strongly constrained by the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux. For the strongest bound, the search for counterpart neutrinos only starts to be of the same order at $S/\sqrt{S+B} = 1$ for $N_{\mathrm{GW}} \gtrsim 220$ for the best localization, well outside the reach of LIGO run O2. It should be noted that as more BBH mergers are observed, the estimate of the rate will improve, so that the two astrophysical bounds should get closer. The vertical band indicates the expected number of BBH merger observations at the end of LIGO run O2, which is between 10-35 [20]. A wider estimate puts this number between 2-100, which covers the whole plot. By the end of run O2, it will be possible to probe $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ down to about $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}$ for $S/\sqrt{S+B} = 5, 3, 1$ respectively.

IV. DISCUSSION

The arguments presented in this paper should be robust, model-independent and lead to order of magnitude estimates for the parameter $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$. It is possible to do the same calculation for more specific models, at the loss of generality. These models could include a mass and distance distribution for the BBH and implement a more detailed calculation of the cosmic evolution of the sources. Additionally, while an E^{-2}-spectrum was chosen as a typical benchmark case and because it agrees with the measured astrophysical neutrino flux, the exact spectral index can deviate from 2 in realistic models. Also the energy range over which this spectrum is valid can be changed. However, the combination of the results of the E^{-2}-spectrum with those of monoenergetic emission, given also the insensitivity to the specific shape inferred from the latter, should be representative of more generic spectra. This analysis of the detected events in IceCube was done for an effective area in the declination band $-30^\circ < \delta < 0^\circ$, as well as one averaged over the entire southern sky. Including GW events in the northern sky will alter the result, since the effective area drops in the high energy range, where Earth becomes opaque to neutrinos. The results of different experiments can also be combined. Since these can be sensitive to different neutrino energies, care has to be taken with translating the results in $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$, since the sensitive energy range is included in its definition.

The results presented here can be used to draw more model-dependent conclusions on the neutrino production. In general, no neutrino emission is expected from BBH mergers, since the black holes should have cleared the environment of all matter long before the merger. This statement can be tested, by assuming neutrinos are produced by accelerated matter around the BBH. One can then decompose $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ as

$$f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = f_{\rm matter} \times f_{\rm engine} \times \epsilon_{\rm p,acc} \times \epsilon_\nu. \qquad (9)$$

Herein $f_{\rm matter}$ denotes the amount of matter present around the BBH relative to the amount of energy in the gravitational wave and depends on the stellar evolution model. The acceleration model is contained in the combination $f_{\rm engine} \times \epsilon_{\rm p,acc}$. The first of these, $f_{\rm engine}$, contains how much energy is put into an acceleration engine, relative to the amount of matter present. The second of these, $\epsilon_{\rm p,acc}$, reflects the amount of protons which can be accelerated to high energy. The fraction of energy from the accelerated particles that ends up in neutrinos in the considered energy range is given by ϵ_ν. As an example, consider the neutrino production mechanism is similar to the GRB-fireball model [21]. The conversion factor from accretion disk mass to fireball energy (primarily from potential energy) is expected to be of order $f_{\rm engine} = 1/10$, assuming that the situation of an accretion disk falling into a BBH is similar to matter from a neutron star falling onto a companion black hole during a merger. The amount of energy from the fireball that goes into the protons is given by $\epsilon_{\rm p,acc} = 1/10$. Finally, the amount of energy in protons that goes into neutrinos is given by $\epsilon_\nu = 1/20$. This allows then to immediately constrain the amount of matter surrounding the two black holes. To get an estimate of $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ for possible models of neutrino emission coming from BBH mergers, consider the model in [22]. There, one predicts an amount of matter of $10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ $M_\odot$ in a non-active accretion disk around one of the black holes, leading to $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} \approx 10^{-7}$ for the fireball model, which is just outside the reach estimated for LIGO run O2 in this paper. In more exotic models of neutrino production, this decomposition and the estimate for $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ that follows might not be valid. As in section II, one can still estimate that $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ would be small, given that the signal observed by GW150914 was consistent with the standard picture. This is consistent with the results from the previous section.

Since the method presented in this article is completely general and makes no assumptions on the source properties, it can also be used for neutron star mergers and black hole-neutron star mergers. In this case one does expect an electromagnetic and neutrino emission if these are the inner engines of (short) GRBs. Important is also that it is in principle possible to have $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} > 1$. Using the decomposition of $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ shown above, the results can be easily interpreted in a model-dependent fashion.

V. CONCLUSION

It was investigated how the detection of GW150914 and the corresponding neutrino analysis influence the ability to constrain possible neutrino emission from BBH mergers, independent of gamma-ray observations. While such neutrino emission is not expected from BBH mergers, it is still useful to test this hypothesis. The measurements were interpreted in terms of $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$, the fraction of energy released in neutrinos in a given energy range compared to the energy in gravitational waves. Under the assumption that E_ν scales linearly with $E_{\rm GW}$, this $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ is universal.

In order to interpret the current searches, the neutrino emission was assumed to be isotropic, but the results can be directly rescaled to the case of beamed emission. In addition, while no significant contribution from BBH mergers is expected, the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux can be used to put a bound on the neutrino emission from BBH mergers. It was assumed that all BBH mergers inject 3 $M_\odot$ in gravitational waves, with a rate corresponding to the two limiting values of the LIGO merger rate estimate, $9 - 240\,{\rm Gpc}^{-3}\ {\rm yr}^{-1}$. Future progress in GW detection will further constrain several

of the input parameters in our calculation such as the BBH mass distribution and typical energy release. This will allow for an even more detailed determination of $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$.

Two benchmark scenarios were considered. In the case of monoenergetic neutrino emission, the non-detection of neutrinos implies $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} \lesssim 10^{-2}$, which is still above the bounds calculated from the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux ($f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 1.14 \times 10^{-4}$ and $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 4.29 \times 10^{-6}$) in the range $60\,\mathrm{TeV} - 3\,\mathrm{PeV}$ of deposited energy in the detector. It was seen that the sensitivity to neutrinos is approximately flat in the energy range $10^3 - 10^7\,\mathrm{GeV}$. Therefore the results in this work should be relatively insensitive to the specific shape of the spectrum. In the case of an E^{-2}-spectrum, the non-detection implies $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} \lesssim 1.24 \times 10^{-2}$. This is comparable to the weakest astrophysical bound (around $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 1.58 \times 10^{-3}$) and above the strongest bound (around $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} = 5.93 \times 10^{-5}$). Therefore, if BBH mergers would be responsible for the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux, a neutrino counterpart could have been detected within the considered scenario. In both cases the astrophysical bound implies that a neutrino detection in the near future would constrain the source population and evolution. As more BBH mergers are collected in future GW observation runs, the bound from the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux will get more precise.

The same technique was also used to provide an estimate of the lowest $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ that can be probed in run O2 of LIGO, by assuming all events have the same properties as GW150914. It was found that after $N_{\mathrm{GW}} \gtrsim 180$, 70, 8 for $S/\sqrt{S+B} = 5$, 3, 1 respectively, the $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ that can be reached is below the weakest astrophysical bound, so that BBH mergers can contribute at most partially to the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux. For the expected number of detected BBH merger events after LIGO run O2, it will be possible to probe $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ down to about $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot} \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}$, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4} for $S/\sqrt{S+B} = 5$, 3, 1 respectively. Since GW150914 is likely to be one of the more powerful GW events detected, this estimated reach is optimistic.

In case neutrino emission is caused by accelerated matter around the BBH, the decomposition shown in section IV can be used to interpret the results on $f_{BBH}^{3M_\odot}$ in an acceleration or source model. While for BBH mergers no such neutrino emission is expected, the same approach can be used for other source classes, such as neutron star-black hole and neutron star-neutron star mergers, where one does expect neutrino emission.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Mauricio Bustamante and Chad Finley for valuable discussions and feedback on the manuscript. KDV is supported by the Flemish Foundation for Scientific Research (FWO-12L3715N - K.D. de Vries). GDW is supported by the Inter University Attraction Pole network 'fundamental interactions'. JMF is supported in part by IISN and by Belgian Science Policy (IAP VII/37). MV is aspirant FWO Vlaanderen.

[1] B. P. Abbott et al. Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116 (6):061102, 2016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102.

[2] B. P. Abbott et al. Localization and broadband follow-up of the gravitational-wave transient GW150914. *Astrophys. J.*, 826(1):L13, 2016. doi: 10.3847/2041-8205/826/1/L13.

[3] S. Adrian-Martinez et al. High-energy Neutrino follow-up search of Gravitational Wave Event GW150914 with ANTARES and IceCube. *Phys. Rev.*, D93(12):122010, 2016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.93.122010.

[4] K. Abe et al. Search for Neutrinos in Super-Kamiokande associated with Gravitational Wave Events GW150914 and GW151226. *Astrophys. J.*, 830(1):L11, 2016. doi: 10.3847/2041-8205/830/1/L11.

[5] Alexander Aab et al. Ultrahigh-energy neutrino follow-up of Gravitational Wave events GW150914 and GW151226 with the Pierre Auger Observatory. *Submitted to: Phys. Rev. D*, 2016.

[6] B. P. Abbott et al. Binary Black Hole Mergers in the first Advanced LIGO Observing Run. *Phys. Rev.*, X6(4): 041015, 2016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041015.

[7] V. Connaughton et al. Fermi GBM Observations of LIGO Gravitational Wave event GW150914. *Astrophys. J.*, 826 (1):L6, 2016. doi: 10.3847/2041-8205/826/1/L6.

[8] A. Achterberg et al. First Year Performance of The IceCube Neutrino Telescope. *Astropart. Phys.*, 26:155–173, 2006. doi: 10.1016/j.astropartphys.2006.06.007.

[9] M. Spurio. Results from the ANTARES neutrino telescope. *EPJ Web Conf.*, 116:11006, 2016. doi: 10.1051/epjconf/201611611006.

[10] Zh. A. Dzhilkibaev et al. Status of the Baikal experiment. In *Proceedings, 15th International Seminar on High Energy Physics (Quarks 2008): Sergiev Posad, Russia. May 23-29, 2008*, 2008.

[11] J. A. Formaggio and G. P. Zeller. From eV to EeV: Neutrino Cross Sections Across Energy Scales. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 84:1307–1341, 2012. doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.84.1307.

[12] M. G. Aartsen et al. Evidence for High-Energy Extraterrestrial Neutrinos at the IceCube Detector. *Science*, 342: 1242856, 2013. doi: 10.1126/science.1242856.

[13] Eli Waxman and John N. Bahcall. High-energy neutrinos from astrophysical sources: An Upper bound. *Phys. Rev.*, D59:023002, 1999. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.59.023002.

[14] M. G. Aartsen et al. The IceCube Neutrino Observatory

- Contributions to ICRC 2015 Part II: Atmospheric and Astrophysical Diffuse Neutrino Searches of All Flavors. In *Proceedings, 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2015): The Hague, The Netherlands, July 30-August 6, 2015*, 2015.

[15] M. G. Aartsen et al. Observation and Characterization of a Cosmic Muon Neutrino Flux from the Northern Hemisphere using six years of IceCube data. *Astrophys. J.*, 833(1):3, 2016. doi: 10.3847/0004-637X/833/1/3.

[16] M. G. Aartsen et al. Searches for Extended and Point-like Neutrino Sources with Four Years of IceCube Data. *Astrophys. J.*, 796(2):109, 2014. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/796/2/109.

[17] T. S. Sinegovskaya, E. V. Ogorodnikova, and S. I. Sinegovsky. High-energy fluxes of atmospheric neutrinos. In *Proceedings, 33rd International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2013): Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, July 2-9, 2013*, page 0040, 2013.

[18] R. Abbasi et al. An absence of neutrinos associated with cosmic-ray acceleration in γ-ray bursts. *Nature*, 484:351–353, 2012. doi: 10.1038/nature11068.

[19] Neil Gehrels, John K. Cannizzo, Jonah Kanner, Mansi M. Kasliwal, Samaya Nissanke, and Leo P. Singer. Galaxy Strategy for LIGO-Virgo Gravitational Wave Counterpart Searches. *Astrophys. J.*, 820(2):136, 2016. doi: 10.3847/0004-637X/820/2/136.

[20] B. P. Abbott et al. The Rate of Binary Black Hole Mergers Inferred from Advanced LIGO Observations Surrounding GW150914. *Astrophys. J.*, 833:1, 2016. doi: 10.3847/2041-8205/833/1/L1.

[21] Eli Waxman and John N. Bahcall. High-energy neutrinos from cosmological gamma-ray burst fireballs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 78:2292–2295, 1997. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.2292.

[22] Rosalba Perna, Davide Lazzati, and Bruno Giacomazzo. Short Gamma-Ray Bursts from the Merger of Two Black Holes. *Astrophys. J.*, 821(1):L18, 2016. doi: 10.3847/2041-8205/821/1/L18.